

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

2

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/816,601	04/02/2004	Douglas J. Adelman	CL2052 US NA	9701
23906 7590 11/29/2007 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			EXAMINER	
			CAMERON, ERMA C	
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTO	WILMINGTON, DE 19805		1792	
		``		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

Restriction Only	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/816,601 Examiner	ADELMAN ET AL. Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	/Erma Cameron/	1792				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
·· _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ Ail b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

10/816,601

Art Unit: 1792

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-22, 25-26 and 29-32, drawn to a composition, classified in

class 525, subclass various.

II. Claim 4, drawn to a method of coating, classified in class 427, subclass 385.5.

III. Claim 13, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1+.

IV. Claims 23-24 and 27-28, drawn to an amide acetal compound, classified in class

564, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group II and III are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as

claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

instant case, the article or product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, such

as laminating a cured coating composition to a substrate.

3. Inventions of Group I and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-

final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the

intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably

distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful

10/816,601

Art Unit: 1792

as a compound that can be used in mixtures and compositions other than those of Group I and the

Page 3

inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to

be obvious variants.

4. Inventions of Group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions

can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case, the composition or product as claimed can be used in a

materially different process, such as being extruded into a free-standing sheet.

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

10/816,601

Art Unit: 1792

7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

Page 4

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. Telephone calls were made to Barbara Siegell on September 28 and October 3, 2007 to

request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election

being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve

a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

10/816,601

Art Unit: 1792

Page 5

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/816,601

Art Unit: 1792

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

November 21, 2007